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Abstract—A novel machine family—the dual-rotor, radial-flux, toroidally wound, permanent-magnet (RFTPM) machine—has been proven in a previous paper to be able to improve the machine efficiency and boost the torque density. This paper will present the key design equations and design procedure of the RFTPM machines, analyze parameter effects on machine performance, and give design guidelines to achieve specific design objectives. In addition, finite-element analysis is employed to prove the effectiveness of the design equations and find the machine overload capability. Experimental measurements of a prototype, which match the design specifications well, verify the effectiveness of the design equations.

Index Terms—Parameter effect analysis, permanent-magnet (PM) machine design, radial-flux, toroidally wound, permanent-magnet (RFTPM) machine.

I. INTRODUCTION

HIGH TORQUE density and high efficiency are two of the most desirable features for an electrical machine. Improvement of these features has been one of the main aspects of research on the electric machines in the last several decades. In order to provide a solution to this problem, a novel machine class—the dual-rotor, radial-flux, toroidally wound, permanent-magnet (RFTPM) machine—was proposed in [1]. Its principle of operation, configurations, and features were discussed. Three low-cost techniques were proposed and proven to be valid to reduce the cogging torque. The high torque density of the RFTPM prototype was reported (0.004 32 N·m/cm³ from the 3-hp RFTPM prototype versus 0.001 51 N·m/cm³ for an induction motor (IM) and 0.001 94 N·m/cm³ for a 5-hp interior PM (IPM) machine), while the efficiency is still kept high (0.871 for the RFTPM, 0.825 for the IM, and 0.88 for the IPM). The features of the dual-rotor RFTPM machines are summarized as the following:

- high efficiency;
- high torque density;
- low material costs;
- low-cost techniques available to reduce cogging torque.

This paper will derive design equations and a design procedure for such slotted, surface-mounted, RFTPM machines shown in Fig. 1. The analysis of parameter effects on machine performance, such as efficiency, torque density, and material costs, will be included as well. Design guidelines will be given to achieve design objectives. In addition, finite-element analysis (FEA) is employed to prove the effectiveness of the design equations, find the machine overload capability, and verify if the machine can survive under the short-circuit fault. Finally, the proposed design method is used to design an actual prototype. The experimental measurements, which closely match the design specifications, verify the effectiveness of the design equations.

II. DESIGN EQUATIONS

This section will give the key design equations for a dual-rotor, slotted, surface-mounted RFTPM machine shown in Fig. 1. The cross section is depicted in Fig. 2. Since this topology has two air gaps, the design equations are separated into two portions: one is for the internal portion of rotors, permanent magnets, and stator, and the other is for the external portion.

A. Fixed Parameters

Many unknown parameters are involved in the design of the RFTPM machines. As a result, it is necessary to assign some fixed values, and then determine the remaining as part of the design. The fixed parameters will be further explored in Sections V and VI based on a few different purposes. The fixed parameters...
may vary depending on the design purpose. Table I gives a list of the fixed parameters used in the proposed design approach. They include machine power or torque, speed, machine winding information, electrical and magnetic loadings, etc.

### B. Inner Portion Design

Assume initially that the inner radius of the inner air gap, \( R_{PM1} \), shown in Fig. 2 is known, which will be adjusted later to meet the power or torque requirement when the overall torque or power output is available. The inner magnet thickness, \( H_{PM1} \), can now be written as

\[
B_{g1} = \left[ 1 + \frac{w_{f1}}{w_{m1}} + \mu_r \frac{g_{e1}}{H_{PM1}} \left( \frac{w_{m1} + 2w_{f1}}{w_{m1} + 2g_{e1}} \right) \right]^{-1} B_r. \tag{1}
\]

where \( w_{f1} \) is the circumferential length between two inner magnets, \( w_{m1} \) is the inner magnet circumferential length, \( g_{e1} \) is the effective inner air gap, and

\[
\eta_l = \frac{H_{PM1}}{\mu_r w_{m1}} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\pi g_{e1}}{H_{PM1}} \right) \tag{2}
\]

\[
\lambda_l = \frac{H_{PM1}}{\mu_r w_{m1}} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\pi g_{e1}}{w_{f1}} \right). \tag{3}
\]

In (1), the only unknown is \( H_{PM1} \), which can be solved by iterative methods. The inner magnet working point \( B_{m1} \) can be calculated from the geometric parameters given above using (4) [2], [4]

\[
B_{m1} = \frac{1 + \frac{2g_{e1}}{w_{m1}}}{1 + \frac{2g_{e1}}{w_{m1}}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu_r} \frac{H_{PM1}}{g_{e1}} + 2\eta_l + 4\lambda_l \right) B_r. \tag{4}
\]

Then, the back-iron width \( d_{br1} \) and the inner radius of the inner rotor or the shaft radius \( R_{ir1} \) can now be written as

\[
d_{br1} = \frac{w_{m1} B_{m1}}{2B_{cr1}} \tag{5}
\]

and

\[
R_{ir1} = R_{or1} - d_{br1} \tag{6}
\]

where the outer radius of the inner rotor \( R_{or1} \) is directly related to the inner radius of the inner air gap \( R_{PM1} \) by the magnet thickness.

The inner stator tooth width is given by

\[
w_{st1} = \frac{K_{Ls1} B_{g1} T_{s1}}{K_{cr1} B_{ts}} \tag{7}
\]

where \( K_{Ls1} \) is the inner air-gap leakage flux coefficient, which can be estimated using an analytical equation [2], [4] or from
FEA results. Given the current density and electrical load are \( J_c \) and \( K_{cl1} \), respectively, the inner slot cross-sectional area available for conductors must be

\[ A_{sbot1} = \frac{2\pi R_{ts} K_{cl1}}{K_{cu} N_s J_c} = \frac{\beta R_{ts} K_{cl1}}{K_{cu} J_c} \]  

(8)

where \( K_{cu} \) is the copper filling factor, \( N_s \) is the slot number, and \( \beta \) is the angular slot pitch in mechanical radians. The inner radius of the stator core is now easily found based on the slot shape.

### C. Outer Portion Design

The outer portion shares some common parameters with internal portion: the slot number \( N_s \), current density \( J_c \), and the slot area \( A_{sbot1} = A_{sbot1} \). To begin the outer design work, it is convenient to assume the outer radius of the outer air gap \( R_{PM2} \) depicted in Fig. 4, is known. Then, the stator outer radius \( R_{os} \) is easily found from the given outside air gap \( q_2 \). Equations (1)–(3) can be used to find the outside magnet thickness, \( H_{PM2} \), as well, by simply replacing the subscript 1 using 2, which stands for the outer rotor variables. Then, the inner radius of the outer rotor \( R_{ir2} \) is the sum of \( H_{PM2} \) and \( R_{PM2} \).

Similar to (5), the outer rotor back-iron width is found to be

\[ d_{yr2} = \frac{w_{m2} B_{m2}}{2 D_{c2r}}. \]  

(9)

Then, the outer radius of the outer rotor \( R_{ex2} \) must be

\[ R_{ex2} = R_{ir2} + d_{yr2}. \]  

(10)

The designed stator core flux density is now ready to be calculated as

\[ B_{cs} = \frac{K_{lt1} K_{lt2} B_g \tau_{p1} + K_{lt2} K_{lt2} B_g \tau_{p2}}{2K_{fe} d_{ys}} \]  

(11)

where \( d_{ys} \) is the stator yoke thickness, \( K_{lt1} \) and \( K_{lt2} \) are the factors of the leakage flux traveling from shoe to shoe, not through the back iron, for inner and outer air gaps, respectively, and \( \tau_{p1,2} \) is the stator inner/outer pole pitch.

Equation (11) gives the calculated stator core flux density. The difference between the value of (11) and the desired one in Table I directs the designer to adjust the initial value of \( R_{PM2} \) which forms the inner loop in the design flowchart shown in Fig. 5. Equations (1)–(11) describe the machine geometric sizes and flux distribution. Based on these parameters and keeping in mind that the inner windings are in series with the outside one, the machine power output, losses, efficiency, material volumes, and weights are easily found using the PM machine theory [3], [5], [6]. Therefore, those equations are omitted in this paper.

### III. DESIGN PROCEDURE AND FLOWCHART

The design procedure and flowchart is shown in Fig. 5. The first step in designing a machine is to specify the input data needed in the process as per the design objective. After estimating the radius \( R_{PM1} \), the equations in Section II-B can be evaluated. Once the outer part design is completed based on the estimation of \( R_{PM2} \), the stator yoke flux density \( B_{cs} \) found using (11) has to be compared with the desired value. If they do not match each other well, the outer part design should be repeated based on the refined \( R_{PM2} \) until they match each other.

According to the researcher’s experience, this loop needs to run 2–4 times. Another loop is to make sure the power output matches the desired one by adjusting the radius \( R_{PM1} \). Both loops can be automatically finished by a computer program. After that, back electromotive force (EMF), current, resistance, and inductance of the phase can be obtained and the performance of losses, efficiency, material volumes, and weights can be calculated.
Usually, this completes the design of a machine. However, if the designer is not satisfied with the performance, the third loop will be formed by backing to the beginning with the necessary adjustment of the input data. In addition, finite-element method (FEM) analysis may be necessary to verify the analytical result, fine tune it, and build confidence. Based upon the FEM analysis, the leakage flux factors may need to be updated to calculate the flux distribution more accurately.

The motor designed by this procedure should be quite causalional, but may not be the optimal one since the input data have the significant effect on the motor and they have not been analyzed. The input data to be analyzed include parameters such as the magnetic loading, electrical loading, and the main aspect ratio. This work will be done in Sections V and VI.

### IV. FEAs

The FEA method is employed in this section to verify the effectiveness of the design equations, refine the leakage flux factors, find the machine overload capability, and verify if the machine can survive under the short-circuit fault.

#### A. Flux Distribution

Fig. 6 shows the flux distributions at full load and no load for a 3-hp slotted dual-rotor RFTPM motor with 0.7-mm air gaps. The design data are: 1800 r/min, eight poles, 120 Hz, efficiency 0.884, current density 551 A/cm², and inner/outer electrical loading 200/159 A/cm. The comparison shown in Table II demonstrates that all the design values well match the measurements except the stator core flux density, which is lower than the designed value by about 15%. This is mainly caused by the leakage flux in the screw holes punched on the stator lamination to fix the stator. The slot leakage flux, which has not been considered in the equations in Section II, also has a contribution to the flux density discrepancy. The inner magnets were designed to have the “loaf” shape to reduce the magnet manufacture cost. The armature reaction can be observed comparing Fig. 6(a) and (b) or from Table II, although it is as weak as expected.

#### B. Overload Capability

Overload capability is another important machine parameter. Short-period overload capability is very desirable for some applications. The surface-mounted PM machines have high overload capability achieved by taking more current due to the low armature reaction. The torque associated with 2 × rated current is 23.87 Nm, which is 1.82 × the rated torque. When 3 × the rated current is fed, 2.31 × the rated torque will be produced. Fig. 7 demonstrates the torque variation with the armature current. It clearly shows the strong overload capability. The torque almost linearly increases as the current increases before it reaches 2 × the rated current.

#### C. Short-Circuit Protection

It is well known that PMs must be protected against reverse fields exceeding some value $H_D$. The magnet flux density must not be reduced below a certain value of $B_D$. Typically, the value of $B_D$ is about $0.2$ T at 100 °C for currently available Nd-Fe–B materials [6].

One of the main reasons to cause the magnet demagnetizing is the short-circuited current, which is usually a few times the rated armature current. The effect of the stator field on the magnet is to increase its flux density on the leading edge and decreases it.
on the lagging edge. FEM analysis can simulate this situation by simply signing the short-circuited current to the windings.

Fig. 8 shows the $B$ vector (the flux density) distribution in the motor cross-sectional area during a short circuit (6× the rated current). The four areas circled by black dashed lines are the areas where the fluxes are opposite to that driven by the magnets. The amplitudes of the reversed fluxes are smaller in the inner magnets (0.05 T) than those in the outer magnets (0.09 T) since the magnet thickness outside is a little smaller than the inside ones.

Compared with the $B_{D}$ value — 0.2 T, the magnets still can survive from the short circuit with a little range of safety. If it is desired to increase the safety range, a longer air gap or a larger magnet can be a choice. It has also been found that the approach to increase the ratio of the leakage to magnetizing inductance is helpful [6].

V. ANALYSES OF PARAMETER EFFECTS ON MACHINE PERFORMANCE

This section will analyze the parameter effects on machine main performance based on the equations derived in Section II. Under an assumption that the leakage fluxes at both ends of the machine are negligible, some examples to show the parameter effects on machine performance will be demonstrated in this section.

A. Aspect Ratio and Pole Number

Figs. 9–12 show the effect of the pole number and the main aspect ratio $K_{D_{1}}$ of machine inner stator diameter $D_{is}$ to length $L_{r}$ on machine efficiency, torque density, material cost, and weight, respectively. The main machine parameters are: three phases, 3-hp, 1800 r/min, $\alpha_{C} = 75^{\circ}$, $B_{m1} = 0.25$ T, $K_{s1} = 330$ A/cm, and $J_{c} = 4.48$ A/cm$^{2}$. Ferrite magnets are employed.

Figs. 9–12 illustrate that the aspect ratio is one of the main parameters to be optimized. Efficiency, torque density, material cost and weight are all strongly a function of the aspect ratio. For the example shown in these plots, an aspect ratio of 0.5–1.5 can achieve the best torque density with reasonable efficiency, material cost, and weight.

Also, note that the values of the aspect ratio for the maximum torque density vary slightly with the pole number. In addition,
the curves may have different shapes for different speeds, magnetic loading, and electrical loading.

For the constant speed (1800 r/min for this case), the power supply frequency increases as the pole number increases, therefore, the iron losses increase as well and the efficiency decreases, which is shown in Fig. 9.

Fig. 10 shows that the torque density is enhanced by doubling the pole number, while the difference between two adjacent curves decreases as the pole number increases. This is the combined effects of the stator and rotor core thicknesses and the air-gap leakage flux. When the pole number is small, both stator and rotor cores are large and decrease quickly as the pole number increases, so that the machine torque density increases. When the pole number is large, however, the leakage flux increases faster and faster. Thus, the increase of the torque density becomes slower as the pole number increases. It can be foreseen that the torque density will decrease when the pole number is so high that the leakage flux effect is dominant.

The curves of the material cost and overall machine weight versus the aspect ratio of $D_{in}$ to $L_r$ are shown in Figs. 11 and 12. The ripples in these curves and in those in the following plots are caused by the discontinuity of the conductor number per slot.

B. Electrical Loading and Current Density

The electrical loading and current densities are important elements to be optimized in machine design. It is common knowledge that the torque density will increase as either electrical loading or current density increases, as shown in Fig. 14 for a constant-power machine. In addition, the material cost and the overall weight decrease as well. However, the efficiency depicted in Fig. 13 decreases as the penalty of electrical loading and current density increases. This implies that more cooling capability is required due to the higher power losses per unit air gap shown in Fig. 17. Thus, the design goal may become to achieve the highest torque density for a given efficiency $\eta_0$, or a pound number of the weight, etc. On the contrary, the goal may be to optimize the efficiency, weight, or material cost for a given torque density. In the curves in Figs. 13–17, eight poles and an aspect ratio of 1.3 were used based on Figs. 9–12.

The highest torque density can be obtained by selecting different points that have the same efficiency but on the different curves of electrical loading. For example, Points A, B, C, D, and E, shown in Fig. 13, on the five different curves of $K_{sl} = 264, 297, 330, 363$, and $396$ A/cm, respectively, have the efficiency $\eta_0 = 0.87$. However, point B has the highest torque density of all shown in Fig. 14. Point E can achieve the lowest material cost shown in Fig. 15 and the lowest power losses per unit air gap shown in Fig. 17, while point A possesses the lightest weight shown in Fig. 16. Which point to be selected is dependent upon the design purpose.

C. Magnetic Loading

The magnetic loading again plays a major role in PM machine designs. The selection of the magnetic loading still remains a task. The air-gap flux density and the angular width of each magnet ($2\alpha_m$) may vary from motor to motor, and the resultant torque density, efficiency, and material cost also differ. Several plots cited in this section will demonstrate this influence. They are obtained using a ceramic magnet, one of the ferrites. The magnet residual flux density $B_r$ is reduced to 0.322 from the original 0.4 T to represent the temperature effect.

Although the efficiency shown in Fig. 18 monotonously increases as the more magnet material is used, the torque density is not in the same situation. Fig. 19 shows that the highest torque density is achieved by the curve with $B_m1$ of 0.25 T, not by
those with the flux densities having a scaling factor of 0.9, 0.95, 1.05, and 1.1. Meanwhile, the torque density increases with the magnet angle $\alpha_e$. When $\alpha_e$ is close to 90°, the magnet-to-magnet leakage flux increases quickly so that the increasing torque density slows. For $B_{m1} = 0.25$ T, the lowest material cost per horsepower shown in Fig. 20 and the lightest weight in Fig. 21 are achieved when $\alpha_e$ is approximately 70°–75°. It also has been noted that to obtain the maximum torque density, the magnet working point (flux density) are slightly different for the inner and outer magnets.

**D. Design Guideline**

To consider the six variables discussed in this section in the design process, a design guideline is proposed and shown in Fig. 22. It is helpful to note that the different design processes may be needed to achieve the different design purposes.
In each design step shown in Fig. 22, the design flowchart in Fig. 5 has to be run several times to reach the optimal point. The results from the previous step should be used as the next step initial conditions. The results of the last step usually do not match the initially assumed conditions used in the first step. Thus, this design process has to be repeated with the updated initials until all the optimal results from each step well match the initial conditions of the others.

VI. PROTOTYPE DESIGN AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

An RFTPM prototype motor was designed using the derived equations and the design guidelines with the following ratings, in which the dc-bus voltage and phase current were selected to be suitable for 600-V 25-A insulated gate bipolar transistors (IGBTs):

- power 3 hp;
- torque 11.87 Nm;
- speed 1800 r/min;
- efficiency 87%;
- dc-bus voltage 380 V;
- trapezoidal phase current 9.1 A, peak.

The main design objective is to achieve the maximum torque density while keeping 87% efficiency.

Given the tradeoff study in Section V-A, eight poles and an aspect ratio of 1.3 were selected for the prototype. Concentrated, full-pitch, single-layer, toroidal windings distributing in 24 slots were employed. To keep the material cost low, ceramic magnets (also known as ferrite) are used. The residual flux density $B_r$ is 0.4 T and the temperature coefficient of $B_r$ is $-0.20 \%/\text{C}$. In order to lower the labor cost, the magnets are designed with parallel sides rather than strict arc segments, while the inner magnets have a “loaf” shape, as shown in Fig. 23(a).

Following the design procedure and guidelines shown in Figs. 5 and 22, the electric and magnetic parameters were set to

- $K_{s1} = 245 \text{ A/cm}$
- $K_{s2} = 186 \text{ A/cm}$
- $J_C = 452 \text{ A/cm}^2$
- $\alpha_{e1} = 75^\circ$
- $\alpha_{e2} = 80^\circ$
- $B_{m1} = 0.250 \text{ T}$
- $B_{m2} = 0.246 \text{ T}$
- $B_{g1} = 0.208 \text{ T}$
- $B_{g2} = 0.225 \text{ T}$.

The main machine physical sizes are summarized in Table III. The measured prototype output torque and efficiency are 12.65 Nm and 89.8% when the machine is cold and 11.78 Nm and 87.1% at the steady state, respectively. Both the steady-state values well match the design ones. These results prove the validity of the derived design equations and the proposed design procedure. More experimental results for the steady state are summarized as follows [1], [4]:

- speed 1800 r/min;
- output torque 11.78 Nm;
- phase current 7.15 A, rms;
- mechanical loss 66 W;
- efficiency 87.1%;
- output power 2.98 hp;
- copper loss 238 W;
- other losses 24 W.

VII. CONCLUSION

The key design equations and procedure of the RFTPM machines have been presented. Analyses of parameter effects on machine performance have been made. In addition, some design guidelines to achieve the design objectives were given. The FEA
results and the prototype measurements verify the effectiveness of the design equations and procedure.
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